

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,130	08/22/2003	Gregg W. Frey	2003P09222US 8859		
75	90 02/28/2006		EXAMINER		
Siemens Corporation			LONEY, DONALD J		
Intellectual Prop	erty Department				
170 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 0883	30		1772		
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/200	DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· .
Advisory Action	10/646,130	FREY ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald Loney	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further county to the proposed county of the propos	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. Oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ansion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3 but prior to the date of filling a brief, insideration and/or search (see NOW);	FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offit te of the final rejection, of filed within two month avoid dismissal of the GOTER 41.37(a). will not be entered be TE below);	te extension fee interested extension fee caction; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of e appeal. Since
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 			_
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	d sufficient reasons why the affidav a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, v	necessary and
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: _____.

See Continuation Sheet.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument's that the Jepson type format of claim 1 positively recites a matching layer. While true, the claim structure is a layer containing aligned conductors relative to the top and bottom surface at least partially therein, which is shown by the prior art. The preamble does not mention a backing layer in order to distinguish the layers of the invention from the prior art. It also fails to positively recite a transducer. It is the "when used on a sonic transducer" that is intended use and does not structurally distinguish the claimed invention in combination with any other limitations from the prior art (i.e. the backing layer from the matching layer). In order for the claims to distinguish layers from the prior art the claim would have to be in the form of (e.g.) A sonic transducer containing a backing layer and a matching layer the improvement comprising a conductor as recited in the last two lines of the claim.